POPHAM, HAIK, SCHNOBRICH, KAUFMAN & DOTY, LTC

4344 IDS CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 612-333-4800

WAYNE G POPHAM RAYMOND A HAIK THOMAS K BERG ROGER W SCHNOBRICH BRUCE D. MALKERSON DENVER KAUFMAN DAVID S DOTY ROBERT A MINISH ROLFE A WORDEN G MARC WHITEHEAD BRUCE D WILLIS FREDERICK 5 RICHARDS MICHAEL O FREEMAN JOHN C CHILDS G ROBERT JOHNSON GARY R MACOMBER ROBERT S BURK HUGH V PLUNKETT, III

FREDERICK C BROWN JAMES R STEILEN JAMES B LOCKHART ALLEN W HINDERAKER CLIFFORD M. GREENE STEVEN G HEIKEN D WILLIAM KAUFMAN THOMAS J RADIO DESYL L PETERSON THOMAS C D'AQUILA THERESE AMBRULANDO DOUGLAS P SEATON LARRY D ESPEL JANIES MAYERON DAVID A JONES

LEE E SHEEHY LESLIE GILLETTE MICHAEL T NILAN ROBERT C MOILANEN DAVID J EDQUIST CATHERINE A POLASKY STEVEN G HEIKENS KATHLEEN M MARTIN THERESE AMBRUSKO GARY D BLACKFORD SCOTT E RICHTER



2660 PETRO-LEWIS TOWER 717 SEVENTEENTH STREET DENVER, COLORADO 80202 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 303-825-2660

SUITE 802-2000 L STREET N W WASHINGTON, D C. 20036 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 202-887-5154

July 22, 1983

Clerk U.S. District Court 110 South Fourth Street Minneapolis, MN 55401

United States of America, et al. v.

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation, et al.

Court Civil No. 4-80-469

Dear Sir:

The enclosed Response of City of St. Louis Park to Request of Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation for Production of Documents is needed for motions pending before the Court in the above action; the Response therefore should be accepted by you for filing pursuant to the Order of Magistrate Boline in this matter dated April 18, 1983.

Thank you for your cooperation in the filing of this document.

Very truly yours,

Kathleen M. Martin

KMM/jo Enclosure cc. All Counsel of Record



POPHAM, HAIK, SCHNOBRICH, KAUFMAN & DOTY, LTD.

4344 IDS CENTER MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 612-333-4800

WAYNE G POPHAM BAYMOND A HAIK RAYMOND A HAIK THOMAS K BERG LESLIE GILLETTE
ROGER W SCHNOBRICH BRUCE D MALKERSON MICHAEL T NILAN
DENVER KAUFMAN JAMES R STEILEN ROBERT C MOILA
DAVID S DOTY JAMES B LOCKHART DAVID J EDOUIST ROBERT A MINISH ROLFE A WORDEN G MARC WHITEHEAD BRUCE D WILLIS FREDERICK S RICHARDS MICHAEL O FREEMAN JOHN C CHILDS G ROBERT JOHNSON THOMAS C D'AQUILA THERESE AMBRU GARY R MACOMBER ROBERT S BURK HUGH V PLUNKETT, TE

FREDERICK C BROWN THOMAS K BERG ALLEN W HINDERAKER CLIFFORD M GREENE D WILLIAM KAUFMAN DESYL L PETERSON LARRY D ESPEL JANIE 5 MAYERON DAVID A JONES

LEE E SHEEHY LESLIE GILLETTE ROBERT C MOILANEN DAVID J EDQUIST CATHERINE A POLASKY STEVEN G HEIKENS THOMAS J RADIO KATHLEEN M MARTIN THERESE AMBRUSKO DOUGLAS P SEATON GARY D BLACKFORD SCOTT E RICHTER

2660 PETRO-LEWIS TOWER 717 SEVENTEENTH STREET DENVER, COLORADO 80202 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 303-825-2660

SUITE BO2-2000 L STREET N W WASHINGTON, D C 20036 TELEPHONE AND TELECOPIER 202-887-5154

July 22, 1983

The Honorable Paul A. Magnuson United States District Court Judge 708 Federal Courts Building St. Paul, MN 55101

> United States of America, et al. v. Re:

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation, et al.

Court Civil No. 4-80-469

Dear Judge Magnuson:

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation's second motion for an order compelling discovery will be heard by the Court on Friday, July 29, 1983, together with the first motion of Reilly Tar for an order compelling discovery. Plaintiffintervenor City of St. Louis Park is not entering a formal response to Reilly Tar's second motion. The City of St. Louis Park wishes to advise the Court, however, that it supports the memorandum of the State of Minnesota in opposition to Reilly Tar's second motion.

Reilly Tar's second motion to compel production of documents is premised on the contention that the contents of the documents Reilly Tar seeks to have produced have twice been partially disclosed to Reilly Tar. Reilly Tar states that a chronology prepared by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency and a chronology prepared by the City of St. Louis Park, which make reference to the memorandum of Mr. Lindall to Mr. Merritt, are evidence of the State's waiver of the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine. The chronology prepared by the City of St. Louis Park was

The Honorable Paul A. Magnuson Page Two
July 22, 1983

produced by the State of Minnesota to Reilly Tar. That chronology, however, was never produced by the City. If the Court construes the State's production of the City chronology as a waiver of the State's attorney-client privilege, it cannot conclude that the waiver applies to the City as well. Simply stated, the State of Minnesota cannot waive the City's protections of the attorney-client privilege and the work product doctrine.

Respectfully yours,

Allen Hinderaker

AWH/jo

cc. All Counsel of Record